Atenveldt Kingdom Arts & Sciences Competition Judging Sheet

Instruments, Models, & Tools—Scientific Instruments

LEVEL: NOVICE	ENTRANT #:	Entry #:		
Brief Description of Entry:				
Please use the following evaluation scale (circle N Needs further refinement for expect S Slight refinement needed for expect M Meets expectations of a Novice. A Above expectations of a Novice. E Exceeds expectations of a Novice.	tations of a Novice):		
DOCUMENTATION:	Circle Evaluation Resu	ılt Given: N S M A E		
Includes complete information relating to the pre-17 th century example(s) used for the entry as well as other information pertinent to the entry for use by judges. General description. Lists and dates when types of materials, techniques, and tools were available to be used. Have drawings, patterns, pictures, and diagrams been included? Did the entrant cite examples, list sources, is a bibliography included?				
COMPLEXITY &/OR DIFFICULTY:	Circle Evaluation Resu	ılt Given: N S M A E		
Assessment of the scope, ambition, and difficulty of the entry. Complexity of steps in creating this item. Did the entrant develop it from a period source, use a modern translation, or is this an original work? Were tools and materials purchased or handmade? Is there difficulty of execution of the techniques used in conjunction with the materials and tools chosen? Is the design an original, reproduction, commercial, or combination?				
WORKMANSHIP:	Circle Evaluation Resu	ılt Given: N S M A E		
The skills used and the resulting quality of work in producing the entry. Have the materials and techniques used produced a recognizable product? Are the techniques used appropriate to the period of the item? Is the product appropriate to the desired result? Is it well crafted and finished? Does the tool work, is it functional, and is it suited for its purpose? Is it consistent with known examples? If a model, is the scale consistent?				
ÆSTHETIC QUALITIES:	Circle Evaluation Resu	alt Given: N S M A E		
The overall æsthetic effect and appeal of the entry, as perceived by the judges. Is the product finished properly? It is attractively presented? Does it look, feel or sound the way it should? Has artistry been shown in the choice of materials used? Is the overall effect pleasing or useful? Does the entry invite its use? Does the model inform and is it of interest?				
AUTHENTICITY:	Circle Evaluation Resu	ılt Given: N S M A E		
How closely the entrant followed pre-17 th century techniques and how nearly the entrant achieved a piece that would not have been out of place in a pre-17 th century cultural setting. To what degree has the entrant gone to use period tools, materials, and process to make the entry? If modern substitutes were used did the entrant explain why? Did it give the appearance and impression of period work?				
CREATIVITY:	Circle Evaluation Resu	ılt Given: N S M A E		
The extent of the entrant's adaptation of a entrant's effort to produce a unique entry. Is entrant base an original work on a period pie	the entry creative? Did the en	trant make an exact copy of a period piece?		

COLLABORATIVE CONSTRUCTIVE JUDGE'S COMMENTS HERE-COVERING ALL CRITERIA JUDGED: *******PLEASE WRITE LEGIBLY******

*WITH NOTATION-ADDITIONAL COMMENTS CAN BE WRITTEN ON A SEPARATE SHEET OF PAPER	?
Judge's SCA Printed Names and Signatures:	