

Other

LEVEL : OPEN	ENTRANT #: _____	ENTRY #: _____
BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ENTRY: _____		

Please use the following numeric judging scale (choice of higher or lower number within each of the five “levels” is dependent upon judge’s evaluation of entry for each of the criteria [see pp 18–19 of *Judges’ Certification Handbook*]):

- 1 Falls considerably below Atenveldt Standard.
- 2 – 3 Falls slightly below Atenveldt Standard.
- 4 Meets Atenveldt Standard.
- 5 – 6 Exceeds Atenveldt Standard.
- 7 Far exceeds Atenveldt Standard.

DOCUMENTATION:

Circle Score Given: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Includes complete information relating to the pre-17th century example(s) used for the entry as well as other information pertinent to the entry for use by judges. Does the documentation include: Time frame and region of origin of entry; purpose and/or intended use of entry; discussion of the original materials, tools, techniques, styles, and design elements of entry; discussion of the materials, tools & techniques, design, and decorative elements used to create the entry; and, citations/references and appropriate illustrations? Does the entrant explain any significant changes &/or compromises made in materials or design elements of pre-17th century practices or model(s)? Is the documentation organized and legible?

COMPLEXITY &/OR DIFFICULTY:

Circle Score Given: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Assessment of the scope, ambition, and difficulty of the entry. What variety of materials, tools, and techniques were required? What skill level was needed in working with the materials, tools, and techniques to complete the entry? What was the number, form, and detail of components/elements required to complete the entry? How difficult to execute were the proposed/required design elements, shaping, finishing, and any additional decorative elements? Where multiple elements were included, to what degree did the entrant design/create each of these elements?

WORKMANSHIP:

Circle Score Given: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

The skills used and the resulting quality of work in producing the entry. Did the entrant's use of materials, tools, and techniques result in a finely finished entry? If the entry contains multiple design elements/components &/or materials, &/or requires multiple techniques, how skillfully worked and coordinated overall are these facets? Overall, how well did the entry achieve the design and purpose(s) proposed by the entrant?

ÆSTHETIC QUALITIES:

Circle Score Given: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

The overall aesthetic effect and appeal of the entry, as perceived by the judges. Does the entry appear to be even and consistent in terms of design and finish? Does the entry look, smell, feel, taste or sound the way it should? Are the design elements well conceived and executed? Are use and consistency of elements, as well as other texture, color, &/or decorations, well designed and accomplished? Were there obvious errors and corrections/repairs? Overall, have the entrant's efforts produced a visually outstanding entry from the judge’s perspective?

AUTHENTICITY:

Circle Score Given: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

How closely the entrant followed pre-17th century techniques and how nearly the entrant achieved a piece that would not have been out of place in a pre-17th century cultural setting. Does the design and functionality of the entry fall within pre-17th century constraints? Are all elements of the entry (including, if used, multiple design elements) consistent within the stated time frame and region of origin of the model(s)? How well do all elements of the entry work logically together within a single time frame & region? To what degree were pre-17th century materials, tools, and techniques used? Overall, to what degree could the entry be mistaken for a fine pre-17th century work?

